

Best Practice Guidelines

Consensus recommendations for avoiding errors in robotic-assisted spine surgery (RASS)

Table 1 Best practice guidelines for avoiding errors in robotic-assisted spine surgery

Section 1: General protocols:

(1) The use of robotic and/or assisted surgery should be discussed prior to surgery and as part of the informed consent process

(2) Manufacturer's guidelines for each system should be fully understood and followed

Section 2: Screw planning/execution:

(1) The attending surgeon should plan their own screws or verify each screw plan

- (2) The attending surgeon should review fluoroscopy-to-CT calibration/matching
- (3) Surgeons should beware of skive potential and select the starting point judiciously
- (4) There should be a formal anatomy check following registration

(5) If deviation from planned trajectory is suspected, confirm accuracy of navigation before proceeding

(6) A patient-based fiducial marker is highly encouraged

(7) If there is concern that navigation inaccuracy has occurred, proceed with re-verification, re-

registration/re-calibration, or abandonment of

robotics/navigation

(8) For intraoperative CT imaging acquisition, principles of ALARA (as low as reasonably allowable) should be utilized whenever possible,

especially for younger patients

(9) Imaging should be considered to check implants prior to extubation

Section 3: Optimization of surgical technique:

(1) During registration and screw track preparation, consider keeping tidal volumes low or holding respirations, when medically permissible,

especially in thoracic cases

(2) The surgical team should always verify that patient positioning is secure to minimize trunk movement. Significant movement after registration

should prompt confirmation of tracking accuracy

(3) Pedicle screw channel preparation should be performed immediately after registration

(4) When developing the pedicle screw track, the initial cortical pilot hole created with the high-

speed burr/drill should be no more than 10 mm

(5) When introducing a new instrument, the surgeon should confirm that the feel of bone matches the navigated visual cues

(6) The bone should be felt with haptic feedback and advancement of the burr/tap/drill during drilling

(7) If the system uses a cannula, the cannula should be withdrawn from the bony surface to allow the first instrument touching the bone to be the

burr. This limits cannula-influenced skive

(8) The burr should be started off the bone at full speed to prevent skive

(9) It is critical to avoid excess soft-tissue retraction whenever possible

(10) In open cases, if soft-tissue retraction results in patient micromotion, consider transfascial or percutaneous screw placement

(11) When neuromonitoring is utilized, if it causes patient motion, the surgeon should be aware that registration may be compromised

(12) Special attention should be paid to the UIV and LIV regions due to issues regarding distance from reference frame and soft-tissue tension

(13) The reference frame should be placed as close as possible to the levels of surgery

Section 4: Areas for robotic improvement:

(1) Formal staff training should be required for nurses and scrub techs for familiarization and troubleshooting of set-up, draping, and preparation

of robotic instruments

(2) Both surgical and assistant teams should have training prior to initiating a robotic spine program

(3) Surgeon visitation/proctoring should be encouraged

Guidelines accepted met consensus standards (≥ 80% agreement by expert panelists)

Reference

Spine Deformity

https://doi.org/10.1007/s43390-025-01060-9

Building consensus: development of a best practice guideline (BPG)

for avoiding errors in robotic-assisted spine surgery (RASS)

Michael G. Vitale · Ritt R. Givens · Matan S. Malka · Kevin Lu · Thomas M. Zervos · Joseph Lombardi · Zeeshan Sardar · Ronald Lehman · Lawrence Lenke · Rajiv Sethi · Stephen Lewis · Daniel Hedequist

 \cdot Themistocles Protopsaltis \cdot A. Noelle Larson \cdot Sheeraz Qureshi \cdot Brandon Carlson \cdot Terrence T. Kim

 \cdot David Skaggs

Received: 10 December 2024 / Accepted: 25 January 2025

© The Author(s), under exclusive license to Scoliosis Research Society 2025